IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

Civil Revision No. 5660 of 2006

Date of Decision: 30.10.2006

Gurmeet alias Vikash (minor) through his father Basau Ram as next friend/natural guardian

...Petitioner.

Versus

Gurvinder Singh and another

...Respondents.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL.

PRESENT: Mr. Balraj Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, J.

In this revision petition filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, challenge is to order dated 6.10.2006 passed by the Motor Accident Claims Tribunal, Kaithal, whereby the evidence of the petitioner has been closed by the order of the court.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that in the interest of justice, one opportunity may be granted to the petitioner to lead his entire evidence and the respondents can be compensated by way of costs. He further submits that the petitioner will lead his entire evidence on the date to be fixed by the trial court.

Ordinarily, I would have issued notice of motion to the respondents which would prolong the case and would entail unnecessary expenses to them. Therefore, the present petition is being disposed of without issuing notice of motion to the respondents.

After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner and in the facts and circumstances of the present case and in the interest of justice, this revision petition is allowed and order dated 6.10.2006 is set aside. It is directed that the petitioner shall be granted one opportunity to lead his entire evidence on a date to be fixed by the trial Court subject to payment of Rs.500/- as costs. It is, however, made clear that in case the petitioner fails to lead his entire evidence on the date to be fixed by the trial Court or to pay the costs, this revision petition shall be deemed to have been dismissed.

October 30, 2006 **(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)**
gbs **JUDGE**